Appendix - Main Modifications

The modifications below are expressed either in the conventional form of strikethrough for deletions and <u>underlined</u> for additions of text, or by specifying the modification in words in *italics*.

The page numbers and paragraph numbering below refer to the submission local plan, and do not take account of the deletion or addition of text.

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
MM1	5	Para 4	In addition, Redditch has worked with other Local Authorities, which although are not directly adjacent to Redditch may have strategic matters that have implications for the preparation of the Local Plan. In particular, Redditch Borough Council and Birmingham City Council have jointly acknowledged there is strategic planning matter with regard to Birmingham being unable to accommodate all of its own housing needs. As required by the Duty to Cooperate, due consideration will be given, including through a review of the BORLP4, to the housing needs of another Local Planning Authority in circumstances when it has been clearly established through collaborative working that those needs must be met through provision in Redditch. This issue will need to be dealt with during the preparation stage of the next Redditch Local Plan (i.e. the next plan period), or when a review of the development plan may be needed to consider these cross boundary matters. This will be dependent on the outcome of recently commissioned work to understand the issues, and further work on allocations for Birmingham's growth. With regard to Birmingham City Council, The mechanism for resolving this potential strategic matter of with Birmingham's unmet housing needs this willould be through the Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) and Redditch's subsequent review of the BORLP4.
MM2	10	Para 3	Redditch Borough has similar crime levels in comparison to the national average of England and Wales, but the number of offences per 1000 population is increasing in Redditch. It has increased from 20.3 offences per 1000 population in Redditch, compared to the England and Wales average of 24.9 in 2006 to 44 offences per 1000 population in Redditch, compared to the England and Wales average of 45 in 2009/10.95% of people feel safe walking around Redditch Town Centre and the street where they live during the day; at night, this falls to 61% for the Town Centre and 73% for the home street (CHYM Redditch). Recorded crime rates for Redditch have fallen substantially since 2005/06 (92.2 offences per 1000 population in 2005/06 to 57.7 offences per 1000 population in 2012/13), although they remain above the average for Worcestershire. Perceptions of anti-social behaviour in Redditch have also remained consistently above the average for Worcestershire and the latest data for 2013 shows that nearly twice as many Redditch residents feel unsafe when out after dark in their local area when compared with residents in the rest of the County.
MM3	12	Para 1	Redditch Borough has good transport links, with the M42 (Junctions 2 and 3)
	1'-	li aia i	Trought Dorough had good transport links, with the MHZ (buriotions Z and o)

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
			located under 5 miles away and the M5 around 6 miles from Redditch Town Centre.
MM4	12	New para	There are a range of issues that need to be tackled to achieve modal shift
	'-	after para 2	including perceptions of safety and security. Research indicates that a
		arter para 2	significant number of people feel unsafe walking to bus stops, waiting for
			buses and travelling on buses. Close to 4% of people cite "feeling unsafe
			walking" as being a main reason stopping them from walking more often. A
			similar percentage stated that "feeling unsafe cycling" was a main reason
			stopping them from doing so more often.
MM5	13	Para 1	A number of District Centres (Church Hill, Matchborough, Winyates and
		l ala i	Woodrow) suffer from a poor image as their inappropriate design means that
			they are inward looking and prone to having crime and anti-social behaviour
			problems. Lessons have been learnt from Council and Partnership projects
			that can be implemented when re-development occurs. Work has
			commenced been completed on the re-development of Church Hill District
			Centre.
MM6	20	Objective 7	Reduce crime and anti-social behaviour and the fear of crime through high
		.,	quality design and infrastructure, with regeneration achieved at
			Matchborough, Winyates and Woodrow District Centres.
MM7	23	Policy 2,	Feckenham is a small, rural settlement predominantly set within the Green
		3 rd bullet	Belt, which offers limited local facilities but has important conservation and
			historic merit. In order to conserve and enhance these characteristics,
			development within or adjacent to the settlement boundary, as defined on
			the Policies Map, will provide for locally identified affordable housing and
			other <u>locally identified</u> development needs only, in accordance with the most up-to-date guidance in the National Planning Policy Framework and
			Parish Housing Needs Survey.
MM8	26	Policy 4,	Around 3,000 dwellings can be accommodated within Redditch Borough.
		para 2	There is limited capacity within Stratford-on-Avon District in the vicinity of the
		ľ	former A435 ADR to contribute towards Redditch's housing target should
			comprehensive delivery of this site be achievable. A minimum of
			Approximately 3,400 dwellings are is to be accommodated in Bromsgrove
			District (see Appendix 1, Redditch Cross Boundary Development). Details of
			the sites expected to contribute to meeting the Borough's housing needs can
			be found in Appendix 2 and are shown on the Policies Map and Key
			Diagram.
MM9	26	Policy 4,	The Council will encourage the provision of housing for elderly people.
		para 3	
		New 2 nd	
		sentence	
MM10	26	Policy 4,	In order to achieve a supply of flexible and inclusive housing in the Borough
		para 4	that caters for life-long occupancy, all new affordable housing for rent will be
			expected to comply with the Lifetime Homes Standard new technical
			standards, excluding the additional optional standards. The private sector
			development industry will be encouraged to implement these concept of lifetime homes new technical standards within their development schemes.
			i meanie nomes <u>new teorinical standards</u> within their development schemes.

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
MM11	26	Policy 4, Reasoned Justification para 1	Land identified which could contribute towards housing provision indicates that around 3,000 dwellings could be accommodated within the Borough boundary. However, evidence in the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) indicates that this will not meet the Borough's housing needs up to 2030. It has therefore been necessary to collaborate with Bromsgrove District Council and Stratford-on-Avon District Council to identify land in these Bromsgrove Districts, in the vicinity of Redditch, which is capable of accommodating Redditch's land supply shortfall.
MM12	27	Policy 5, criterion i	i. the reuse and regeneration of Previously Developed Land (PDL) will be actively encouraged. Where the economic viability of a scheme on PDL is questionable, and can be fully demonstrated by the applicant, the Borough Council may negotiate a more appropriate level of infrastructure provision, or deferred payment scheme with the applicant, in order to secure beneficial reuse of a site. Development proposals on contaminated land should demonstrate that the site is capable of appropriate remediation without compromising development viability or the delivery of sustainable development;
MM13	28	Policy 5 New para at end of (and within) policy.	Development proposals on land likely to be affected by contamination should demonstrate that the site is capable of appropriate remediation without compromising development viability or the delivery of sustainable development.
MM14	28	Policy 5, Reasoned Justification para 2	Proposals also need to ensure that new development does not contribute to, or is put at unacceptable risk from ground contaminants. The SHLAA and Employment Land Review (ELR) identify PDL potential within the Borough.
MM15	29	Policy 5, Reasoned Justification New para after para 2.	Proposals also need to ensure that new development does not contribute to, or is put at unacceptable risk from ground contaminants. Where sites are suspected of contamination, the Council will require the submission of an appropriate risk assessment and, if necessary, a site investigation and mitigation scheme.
MM16	31	Policy 6, paras 2 and 5	Contributions towards affordable housing will not be sought from developments of 10 units or less, and which have a maximum combined gross floorspace of no more than 1000sqm (gross internal area). On sites of 11 40 or more dwellings (net), a 30% contribution towards the provision of affordable housing will be expected. On-site provision should be made and must incorporate a mix of dwelling types and sizes, which reflect the site's characteristics, the development as a whole, and meets the needs identified in the Borough Council's most up to date Strategic Housing Market Assessment or other up to date local housing need surveys, and in consultation with the Council's Housing Strategy Team. On all sites of 5-9 dwellings (net), a 30% financial contribution towards affordable housing provision will be sought on completion of the development.

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
MM17	32	Policy 7, Paras 1, 2 and 3	Provision will be made for new Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople pitches, in line with an up-to-date assessment of permanent and transit accommodation needs in line with Government guidance. Requirements for Redditch Borough are currently contained in the Worcestershire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (2012) and the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment (2008). These assessments identify a minimum of 11 'yards' to be provided to meet the needs of travelling showpeople and 18 pitches for temporary stopping places to meet Redditch's need. The Borough Council will allocate site(s) to meet identified need through an Site Allocations DPD Plan. Proposals for new sites will be required to demonstrate that they: i. are located within a reasonable distance of existing facilities and transport networks with satisfactory access and highway arrangements; ii. where appropriate, are located on Previously Developed Land; iii. are well screened and landscaped and will not cause unacceptable harm to the character and appearance of the surrounding area; iv. will not result in unacceptable disturbance or loss of amenity to any neighbouring development, specifically in relation to the transport movements associated with Travelling Showpeople yards; and v. have, or are capable of having, a satisfactory water supply, sewerage and refuse disposal facilities. Traveller sites (temporary or permanent) in the Green Belt are considered
MM18	32-33	Policy 7,	There are currently 31 Travelling Showpeople plots in the Borough. The
		Reasoned Justification	Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) for The South Housing Market Area of the West Midlands Area (2008) was commissioned by the South Housing Market Area Partnership. The purpose of the assessment is to provide information on the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers for sub-regional and District/Borough level planning policy to set the appropriate number, type and distribution of additional pitches to be provided. The GTAA is supplemented by the Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) for Worcestershire (2012). The Assessment recommends that an additional Showpeople site should be provided for which is a minimum of 14 'yards'. 'Yards' can be anything from 100ft x 100ft up to 150ft by 200ft (Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment for The South Housing Market Area, March 2008). The Assessment also recommends that a temporary stopping place of

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
			not less than 18 pitches should be provided. 'Planning policy for traveller
			sites' (CLG, March 2012August 2015) is the current national planning
			guidance regarding the provision of sites for Gypsies, Travellers and
			Travelling Showpeople. This guidance requires Local Planning Authorities to
			make an assessment of need for traveller sites for the purposes of planning.
			A review of the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment for
			Worcestershire is being completed in 2014 2013 and will inform a future Site
			Allocations DPD. This will provide the Borough Council with an up to date
			assessment of the need for sites and identify whether sites should be
			provided in cooperation with neighbouring authorities.
			'Planning policy for traveller sites' (CLG, March 2012) is the current national
			planning guidance regarding the provision of sites for Gypsies, Travellers and
			Travelling Showpeople. Sites will be allocated in accordance with national
			planning guidance and based on need identified in an up to date Gypsy and
			Traveller Accommodation Assessment. Allocated sites will be identified in an
			Allocations Plan. The criterion contained within this policy will be applied to
			site allocations as well as proposals for sites through planning applications.
MM19	34	Policy 8,	There will be a presumption against inappropriate development in the Green
		para 2	Belt in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) except in
			very special circumstances. Some forms of development are not
			inappropriate development in the Green Belt provided they preserve the
			openness of the Green Belt and do not conflict with the purposes of including
			land in the Green Belt. Applications for development in the Green Belt will be
			determined in line with national planning guidance on Green Belts and other
			relevant policies within the development plan.
MM20	36	Policy 10,	New dwellings in the Green Belt and Open Countryside outside the
		para 1	settlements of Astwood Bank and Feckenham will only be permitted where
			there is an essential need for a rural worker to live at or near their place of
			work. Applications for rural workers' dwellings in the Green Belt will be
			determined in accordance with national planning policy on Green Belts.
MM21	40	Policy 12,	Local Green Spaces will be designated by the Council through the
		para 3	Allocations Plan, where appropriate, in accordance with the provisions of the
			National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Once designated, Local Green
			Space will be managed in line with planning policy for Green Belts.
MM22	40	Policy 12,	The NPPF makes provision for local communities to designate Local Green
		Reasoned	Space through local and neighbourhood plans. Local Green Space will only
		Justification	be designated where it does not conflict with the Objectives of the Local Plan
		para 5	and in accordance with the NPPF. Once designated, Local Green Space will
		ľ	be subject to the same planning policy safeguards as land designated as
			Green Belt. The Allocations Plan will designate specific sites for Local Green
			Space where there is a justification for that allocation.
MM23	45	Policy 15,	To be sustainable, new developments must have regard for the need to be

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
		para 1	climate-resilient. For residential development this policy applies to planning applications of more than 10 units. In order to ensure appropriate consideration of adaptation and mitigation to climate change has been made, applications will be judged against the following criteria
MM24	45	Policy 15, criterion iii	iii. proposals must seek to be zero carbon in line with Government targets; meet the new national technical standards, excluding the additional optional standards;
MM25	45	Policy 15, criterion iv	iv. all new residential development must meet the nationally required standard of the Code for Sustainable Homes (or any other national scheme which supersedes it);
MM26	45	Policy 15 Insert as new para after last para but within policy	This policy relates to all forms of renewable energy development other than wind energy developments. Wind energy development will be considered against national policy and guidance.
MM27	46	Policy 15, Reasoned Justification para 3	The Government's target is that buildings should meet zero-carbon standards by 2016. The Code for Sustainable Homes is intended to improve the overall sustainability of new homes and measures the sustainability of a home against design categories. BREEAM (BRE Environmental Assessment Method) is a widely used environmental assessment method for non-domestic buildings. It sets the standard for best practice in sustainable design and is used as a measure to describe a buildings environmental performance http://www.breeam.org/index.jsp). All non-domestic developersments will be encouraged to meet the highest level of Code for Sustainable Homes/BREEAM rating (or any other national scheme which supersedes them it) as where it is economically viable but are not required to meet standards above those set nationally.
MM28	47-48	Policy 16, Part B, para 1	The location of sites of national (Sites of Special Scientific Interest), regional (Local Wildlife Sites) and local (Local Nature Reserves) wildlife importance are shown on the Policies Map. Applications for development should aim to conserve and enhance biodiversity by applying the principles of the NPPF. In determining applications affecting sites of wildlife importance, the Council will apply the hierarchy of designated sites and appropriate weight will be given to their importance and contribution to wider ecological networks. Due to the national importance of Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) proposals likely to have an adverse impact within or outside of a SSSI, either individually or in combination with other developments, will not normally be permitted. An exception will only be made when it can be demonstrated that the benefits of the development clearly outweigh the impact on the site or network of sites.

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
			New development or land use changes likely to have an adverse effect on such sites Sites of Special Scientific Interest, Local Wildlife Sites and Local Nature Reserves, directly or indirectly, will not be allowed unless there are no reasonable alternative means of meeting that development need and the reasons for development clearly outweigh the intrinsic nature conservation and/or geological value of the site or network of sites.
MM29	48-49	Policy 16, Reasoned Justification para 6	Within the Borough there are currently six sites of national wildlife importance designated as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) which cover a range of different habitats. SSSIs are important for their wildlife, geological or physiological features and are legally protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, as amended by the Countryside and Rights of Way (CROW) Act 2000 and the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006. Regional sites of wildlife importance in the Borough include Local Wildlife Sites (LWS – formerly known as Special Wildlife Sites) which have been identified by the Worcestershire Local Sites Partnership as being of substantive nature conservation value. Local Nature Reserves (LNR) are declared by Local Authorities under Section 21 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949, and amended by Schedule 11 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. Any additional wildlife sites identified during this Plan period will also be protected by this policy. The principles of the NPPF to be applied in determining planning applications affecting sites of wildlife and geological importance can be found in paragraphs 109, 113, 117 and 118 of the NPPF.
MM30	49	Policy 17, para 2	Any development sites that are located in areas that are subject to flood risk will need to demonstrate that there are no other reasonable locations for development in accordance with the 'Sequential Approach Test' and 'Exception Test' (where appropriate) as set out in the Technical Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework National Planning Practice Guidance and have regard to the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) for Redditch. A sequential approach should also be taken in site design. Development will be designed to be safe taking into account the lifetime of the development, and the need to consider and adapt to climate change.
MM31	49	Policy 17, para 3	In addition, any development in areas that are subject to flood risk will need to demonstrate that adequate flood protection has been incorporated on site and that the effects elsewhere have been fully assessed and mitigated against. Opportunities should be sought to demonstrate flood risk improvements, wherever possible to provide multiple benefits when managing flood risks, for example to provide amenity benefit or ecological improvements. It is expected that any on-site flood defences required will be provided and financed by the developer of the site.
MM32	50	Policy 17, Reasoned Justification para 1	If, once the Sequential Test has been applied, insufficient sites are identified the 'Exception Test' (as defined in the Technical Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework and National Planning Practice Guidance) can be applied where necessary. This may, in certain circumstances, justify

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
		p on o	development taking place in Flood Zone 2 or Flood Zone 3.
MM33	50	Policy 17, Reasoned Justification para 2, 2 nd bullet	consider the risk of flooding arising from the development in addition to the risk of flooding from all sources to the development;
MM34	50	Policy 17, Reasoned Justification para 2, 6 th bullet	 consider the vulnerability of those that could occupy and use the development, taking account of the Sequential and Exception Tests and the vulnerability classification as per the Technical Guidance to the NPPF the National Planning Practice Guidance (table 2 and 3 flood risk vulnerability), including arrangements for 'safe development' having regard to the FRA requirements within the Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) for Redditch (2012) including setting of appropriate Finished Floor Levels, with flood proofing techniques considered (where appropriate), and safe access;
MM35	50	Policy 17, Reasoned Justification para 2, new bullet at end	applicants should refer to Table 1 and 2 of the Government's Climate Change Allowances guidance and seek contact with the Environment Agency for any detailed river catchment climate change data.
MM35a	52	Policy 18	Add the following to the end of policy 18: Any major residential development (as defined in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 or any subsequent replacement) within the Bow Brook and Batchley Brook catchments should meet a water efficiency target of 110 litres per person per day.
MM36	53	Policy 18, Reasoned Justification para 4	Through the use of SuDS techniques and the requirement for new developments to be assessed against either the Code for Sustainable Homes the new national technical standards or BREEAM (for non-domestic developments), water demand will be significantly lowered. The Level 2 SFRA contains more guidance on the appropriate application of SuDS.
MM37	55	Policy 19, Reasoned Justification para 8	The transport network must be maintained and managed in a way that preserves strategic routes, and supports business efficiency which is critical to Redditch's competitiveness. The Strategic Road Network (SRN) and Primary Route Network (PRN) are central to this by providing routes between major settlements and important destinations. Motorways and trunk roads make up the SRN including the M42 and M5 which lie outside the Borough; and other primary routes represent the PRN. The Primary Route Network (PRN) is central to this and designates routes between major settlements and important destinations. Routes consist of motorways, trunk roads and other primary routes, however in In Redditch the PRN is formed only of 'A' roads and is taken from the Worcestershire Local Transport Plan No.3 Network Management Plan – Figure 2.1) and consists of the A441, A4023 and the A448, and can also be identified on the Transport Map. New accesses onto the PRN and SRN will not be encouraged and should not inhibit the strategic function of these routes. Where development proposals

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
			impact upon the PRN or the SRN, a transport assessment and environmental impact assessment must be undertaken and, where necessary, planning conditions and planning obligations, including financial contributions to securing highways improvements may be sought, to ensure that the function of the network is maintained and appropriate financial contributions to improvements are made.
MM38	58	Policy 20, criterion i.	A Transport Assessment will be required where it is considered that development will have significant transport implications. The assessment of traffic impact should be undertaken in line with the policies in the Plan and other relevant transport policy and guidance.
MM39	58	Policy 20, criterion ii.	A Travel Plan will be required alongside <u>all certain</u> developments <u>which</u> generate significant amounts of movement
MM40	58	Policy 20, criterion v.	v. all proposals will be expected to be located <u>accessible to within 250m of</u> local services (in accordance with the retail hierarchy this should either be a parade of local shops or a District Centre) and a public transport link (i.e. bus stop or train station);
MM41	58	Policy 20, criterion vii.	The cumulative effects of development on transport infrastructure must be assessed and solutions sought in line with the policies in this Plan <u>and other relevant transport policy and guidance</u> , with particular regard to the cumulative effects of the delivery of the Strategic Sites
MM42	58	Policy 20 New criterion to be inserted at end of (and within) policy.	The Council will use mechanisms such as planning conditions and planning obligations, including financial contributions where necessary to secure the timely delivery of any necessary transport mitigation measures.
MM43	58	Policy 20 New criterion to be inserted at end of (and within) Policy.	Development of transport infrastructure provision will be co-ordinated in line with the up to date Infrastructure Delivery Plan, which will be subject to regular review.
MM44	59	Policy 20, Reasoned Justification para 2	A Travel Plan will be expected where proposals generate significant amounts of movement, including development which exceeds for development exceed the following thresholds
MM45	64	Policy 23, para 1	Provision is made for the identification of around 55 hectares of land which are available for employment uses for the period up to 2030. Around 27.5 hectares will be accommodated within Redditch Borough and around 5.5 hectares will be accommodated within Bromsgrove District at the north western section of the existing Ravensbank business park . Within this provision, an allowance has been made to accommodate waste management

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
			facilities, within Redditch Borough, as identified in the Waste Core Strategy for Worcestershire (November 2012), see Policy 24 Development within Primarily Employment Areas.
MM46	64	Policy 23, para 2	The Redditch Eastern Gateway has been identified as a key initiative for employment provision to meet Redditch related employment needs. Around 10 hectares will be accommodated in Bromsgrove District at the former Ravensbank ADR, adjacent to the south eastern boundary of the existing Ravensbank business park and a minimum of 12 hectares further employment provision will be accommodated within Stratford-upon-Avon District at Gorcott (around 7 hectares) and Winyates Green Triangle (around 12 hectares).
MM47	64	Policy 23, para 3	The Redditch Eastern Gateway aims to provide a significant enhancement to the employment land supply through the creation of a high-profile and accessible employment scheme to take advantage of the demand of the M40/M42 corridor The site should develop as a high quality business park to support both existing businesses and to provide the opportunity to diversify the employment base of Redditch and the surrounding areas through attracting businesses that are not currently provided for within the existing supply of sites. Comprehensive development of the three areas that comprise this initiative should:
MM48	72	Policy 25, para 1	Sites within the urban area other than those within designated Primarily Employment Areas may be suitable for economic development, redevelopment or change of use. Within the Redditch urban area the economic development proposals should:
MM49	74	Policy 29, para 1	In order to support the expansion of electronic communications networks, (including telecommunications and high speed broadband) all developments should make provision for the service infrastructure required at the design stage of any proposal suitable for occupiers of all development. For the provision of broadband, developers should work with a recognised network carrier to design a bespoke duct network, wherever practicable, for the development. Developers should also consider the inclusion of other forms of infrastructure, such as facilities necessary to support mobile broadband where possible and where it is viable to do so. All service linfrastructure should be designed to ensure minimal disruption, should the need for maintenance, adaption or upgrades arise.
MM50	78	Policy 30, Reasoned Justification para 3	The Council has identified specific roles for each of the centres and will use planning policies to maintain and, where necessary and appropriate having regard to national guidance, improve the shopping function and environment of these centres. Whilst in many instances this will serve to maintain their position within the retail hierarchy, it is recognised that the role, function and relative importance of centres may change over time in pursuit of this Objective. District Centres are the equivalent to the definition of 'Local Centres' in the NPPF by virtue of the types of facilities they provide.
MM51	86	Policy 34,	The Council will look favourably on development proposals that will help

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
		Reasoned Justification para 1	revitalise and improve the shopping and community facilities of District Centres providing they are in keeping with their primarily retailing role and actively support the redevelopment of, Matchborough, Winyates and Woodrow District Centres and their status as Strategic Sites. In relation to the types of shoppingfacilities they provide, District Centres are the equivalent to the definition of 'Local Centres' in the NPPF.
MM52	90	Policy 36, para 1	Designated heritage assets including listed buildings, structures and their settings; conservation areas; and scheduled ancient monuments, will be given the highest level of protection and should be conserved and enhanced. Non-designated heritage assets , nationally important archaeological remains and locally listed heritage assets, and their settings will also need to be conserved and enhanced in a manner appropriate to their significance and contribution to the historic environment.
MM53	101	Policy 40, criterion iv	iv. include where appropriate, public art that is well designed, <u>takes into</u> <u>account the risk of crime, is</u> integrated within the overall design and layout of the development, located where it can be easily observed, improves public outdoor space and legibility and creates landmarks;
MM54	101	Policy 40, criterion vi	vi. encourage community safety and 'design out' vulnerability to crime by incorporating the principles, concepts and physical security standards of the 'Secured by Design' award scheme; providing infrastructure for policing and emergency services; and considering the incorporation of fire safety measures;
MM55	102	Policy 40, Reasoned Justification para 5	The 'Secured by Design' award scheme focuses on crime prevention at the design, layout and construction stages of homes and commercial premises and promotes the use of security standards (www.securedbydesign.com). Redditch Borough Council and North Worcestershire Community Safety Partnership will publicise and promote developments that achieve Secured by Design Standards. Thise principles of this scheme or any relevant scheme at the time are supported should be adhered to in order to encourage community safety and 'design out' vulnerability to crime. New development can put additional pressure on the infrastructure of West Mercia Police and Hereford & Worcester Fire and Rescue Service. Proposals should make provision for this infrastructure as identified in the IDP to ensure that Redditch Borough is a safe and attractive place to live and work.
MM56	104	Policy 42, criterion iv	iv. they would not impede natural surveillance, be an obstruction security to surveillance cameras; and
MM57	113	Policy 46, Para 1	A Strategic Site at Brockhill East is appropriate for a high quality mixed use development comprising around 4,0001,025 dwellings, employment (8.45ha) and relevant community facilities and services including, a District Centre (including convenience retail store), a first school and a sustainable public transport network.
MM58	114	Policy 46, 'Infrastructu re'	xv. proposals should demonstrate that there is no adverse risk of pollution to controlled waters through the submission of an appropriate risk assessment and if necessary, a site investigation and mitigation scheme;

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
		Insert new	
		criterion	
MM59	114	Policy 46,	xxii drainage proposals for the site should include appropriate pollution
		'Infrastructu	prevention measures to avoid risks to controlled waters.
		re'	
		Insert new	
		criterion	
MM60	115	Policy 46,	An appropriate location should be determined in Brockhill East for a District
		Reasoned	Centre which is needed in north Redditch, in the Brockhill area. This District
		Justification	Centre should satisfy any convenience needs of the local community. Where
		para 2	If proposals for convenience retail is to be provided in the Brockhill area
			exceed the level of retail provision normally associated with a District Centre
		10-4	location (see Policy 30), this will be subject to an impact assessment on
NANACA	116	Deliev 46	surrounding District Centres to ensure there are no negative impacts.
MM61	116	Policy 46, Reasoned	The site is underlain by the Mercia Mudstone Group, which is classified as a secondary aquifer. Development proposals must demonstrate that there is no
		Justification	adverse pollution risk to the aquifer through the submission of an appropriate
		Insert new	risk assessment and if necessary, a site investigation and mitigation scheme.
		para.	Tisk assessment and it necessary, a site investigation and mitigation scrience.
		para.	
MM62	120	Policy 47	ix proposals should demonstrate that there is no adverse risk of pollution to
		Insert new	controlled waters through the submission of an appropriate risk assessment
		criterion	and if necessary, a site investigation and mitigation scheme;
MM63	120	Policy 47	and
			<u>xiv</u> incorporate any necessary infrastructure identified for the effective
			delivery of the site; and
			xv drainage proposals for the site should include appropriate pollution
			prevention measures to avoid risks to controlled waters.
MM64	120	Policy 47,	Land immediately south of the Alexandra Hospital is not included within the
		first	Strategic Site boundary and will be safeguarded for health related purposes,
		paragraph after final	this should be considered when formulating proposals for the Strategic Site.
		criterion	
MM65	120	Policy 47,	This Strategic Site is expected to be delivered 6-10within 5 years following
WIIVIOO	120	second	Local Plan adoption. The Borough Council will issue further strategic
		paragraph	planning guidance in order to guide and accelerate the sustainable delivery
		after final	of this Strategic Site.
		criterion	
MM66	120	Policy 47,	The NHS Trust has indicated that the land immediately south of the hospital
		Reasoned	(which is not within the Strategic Site boundary) must be safeguarded for
		Justification	future health related development associated with the hospital. This also
		para 1	aligns with Policy 44 Health Facilities which seeks to ensure this land is
			protected for health purposes.
MM67	122	Policy 47,	The site is underlain by the Mercia Mudstone Group, which is classified as a

Ref	Page	Policy/ para	Main Modification
		Reasoned	secondary aquifer. Development proposals must demonstrate that there is no
		Justification	adverse pollution risk to the aquifer through the submission of an appropriate
		Insert new	risk assessment and if necessary, a site investigation and mitigation scheme.
		para	
MM68	125	Policy 48	xi development proposals should address contamination associated with any
		,	previous uses on the site, including the disused sewage works, through the
		Insert new	submission of an appropriate risk assessment and if necessary, a site
		criterion	investigation and mitigation scheme;
MM69	126	Policy 48,	Development of this site provides an opportunity to address the remediation
WIIWIOO	120	Reasoned	of any potential contamination as a result of the former sewage works located
		Justification	within the site boundary.
		Insert new	within the site boundary.
		para	
MM70	-	Appendix 2	Delete Appendix 2 and replace with revised version attached at the end of
IVIIVI7 O		Appendix 2	this Appendix.
MM71	-	Appendix 3	Delete Appendix 3 and replace with revised version attached at the end of
			this Appendix.
MM72	-	Appendix 6	The following list details which of the Borough Councils Supplementary
			Planning Documents (SPDs) are to be retained:
			Land to the Rear of the Alexandra Hospital SPD
			Church Hill District Centre SPD
			Edward Street SPD
			Church Road SPD
			Education SPD
			Open Space Provision SPD
			Auxerre Avenue SPD
			Designing for Community Safety SPD
			Prospect Hill SPD
			Local List SPD
			Encouraging Good Design SPD
			Employment Monitoring SPG
MM73	-	Appendix 7	Code for Sustainable
		Glossary:	Homes (CSH / CFSH) The Government's standard designed to improve the
		Code for	overall sustainability of new homes by setting a single framework.
		Sustainable	
		Homes	
MM74	-	Appendix 7	Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such
		Glossary:	persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants'
		Gypsies	educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but
		and	excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus
		Travellers	people travelling together as such.

Main Modification MM70

Appendix 2: Schedule of Housing Sites

Policy 4 Housing Provision explains how Redditch Borough Council will meet its housing needs of around 6400 dwellings between 1 April 2011 and 31 March 2030.

This appendix provides more detailed information on the component parts of the housing target. Additional monitoring information is available from the Development Plans Team. Monitoring information and the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) are updated annually on 1 April.

i. Sites allocated for housing development in the Borough of Redditch in order to meet the Strategic Housing Target for the period 2011-2030

No.	Site Name	Capacity for completions on or after 1.4.2011	Completions 1.4.2011 - 31.3.2013	Brownfield/ Greenfield	Area (Ha)
124	Brush Factory, Evesham Road	6	0	В	0.09
135	RO 144 – 162 Easemore Road	19	0	₿	0.42
143	Adj. Castleditch Lane/ Pheasant Lane	16	0	G	0.52
147	Windsor Road Gas Works	37	37	В	5.68
153	Prospect Hill	71	0	В	1.43 <u>1.40</u>
155	Former Claybrook First School	35 <u>36</u>	θ	В	0.74 <u>1.31</u>
156	Land at Millfields and the Fire Station	35 <u>30</u>	θ	B+G	1.36 <u>1.02</u>
157	Former Ipsley School playing field	41	θ	G	0.93
158	South of scout hut, Oakenshaw Road	41 <u>46</u>	θ	G	1.02
200	Land at Wirehill Drive	12	θ	G	0.47 0.71
201	The Hills, Tanhouse Lane	14	14	В	0.57
202	Dorothy Terry House	42	θ	В	0.41
203	Former Dingleside Middle School	180	0	B/G	3.95 <u>7.27</u>

	430 dwellings	2913 <u>2873</u> dwellings	Total	
В	θ	14	Park House, Town Centre	220
G	Φ	12 10	Studley Road/ Green Lane	219
Φ.	Φ	42 44	RO Windsor Road Gas Works	218
Φ.	0	9	Sandycroft, West Avenue	217
Φ	Ф	44 <u>30</u>	Former Hewell Road swimming baths	216
G	θ	28 29	Birchfield Road	215
G	0	600	Webheath	213
G	38	1025	Brockhill East	212
G	Ф	255 <u>205</u>	A435 (former ADR)	211
G	θ	145	RO Alexandra Hospital	210
В	Φ	10	Loxley Close	209
B+G	Ф	40	Widney House, Bromsgrove Road	208
₿	Φ	17 70	Matchborough District Centre	207
₿	Φ	51	Church Hill District Centre	206
₩	Φ	23	Mayfields Works, The Mayfields	205
B/G	4	79	Former Marlfield Farm First School	204

Small Site Completions 1.4.2011 - 31.3.2013

dwellings. Completions between 1.4.2011 and 31.3.2013 on sites where capacity at 1.4.2011 was less than 10

Small Site Completions 1.4.2011 - 31.3.2013 = 63 dwellings

These are small sites (less than 10 dwellings) with planning permission outstanding at 1.4.2013 and SHLAA sites (less than 10 dwellings)

Small Site Commitments at 1.4.2013 = 69 dwellings

Borough of Redditch Commitments to Meet the Strategic Housing Requirement At 1.4.2013

Large Site Completions = 130

Large Site Commitments = 2783

Small Site Completions = 63

Small Site Commitments = 69

TOTAL = 3045 dwellings

Outstanding Strategic Housing Target at 1.4.2013

6400 minus 3045 = 3355 dwelling target commitments below-strategic target

(i) Additional land beyond the Borough of Redditch in order to meet the Strategic Housing Target for the period 2011-2030. (Land within Bromsgrove District)

Site No.	Site Name/ Address	B/G*	Capacity on or after 1.4.2011	Completions up to 31.3.2013 (Ha)
1	Land at Foxlydiate	G	2800	0
2	Land at Brockhill East	G	600	0
	Sub Total		3400	0

^{*} Brownfield/Greenfield

Main Modification MM71

Appendix 3: Schedule of Employment Sites

Policy 23 Employment Land Provision explains how Redditch Borough Council will meet its employment needs of around 55 hectares between 1 April 2011 and 31 March 2030.

This appendix provides more detailed information on the component parts of the employment target. Additional monitoring information is available from the Development Plans Team. Monitoring information and the Employment Land Review (ELR) are updated annually on 1 April.

i. Sites allocated for employment development in the Borough of Redditch in order to meet the Strategic Employment Target for the period 2011-2030

Site No.	Site Name/ Address	B/G*	Site Area (Ha)Capacity on or after 1.4.2011	Completions up to 31.3.2013 (Ha)
IN15	Woolaston Road, Park Farm	G	0.40	0
IN19	Studley Road (Aeroquip)	В	1.44	0
IN20	Old Forge Drive (BACO)	G	<u>1.32</u> 1.21	0
IN34	Merse Road, North Moons Moat	G	0.65	0
IN37	Bartleet Road, Washford	G	0.62	0
IN38	Adj. 47/52 Heming Road, Washford	G	0.22	0
IN52	Shawbank Road, Lakeside	G	1.03	0
IN54	Palmers Road, Moons Moat (E)	G	0.29	θ
IN58	Crossgate Road, Park Farm (N)	G	<u>1.101.04</u>	0
IN59	Adj. Greenlands Business Centre, Park Farm (N)	G	0.38	0
IN67	Brockhill East (west of railway)	G	6.60	0
IN69	Land rear of Alexandra Hospital	G	2.00	0
IN80	Land at Winyates Way/ Moons Moat Drive	G	0.64	0
IN81	Brockhill East (Weights Lane, east of railway)	G	1.85	0
IN82	A435 ADR (area 3)	G	7.78	0
IN83	Land at Kingham Close/ Far Moor Lane	G	0.19	θ

IN84	Land off Pipers Road	G	0.190.22	θ
	Sub Total		26.70 <u>18.78</u> Ha	0 Ha

ii. Additional vacant land which counts towards the Borough's employment land allocation in order to meet the Strategic Employment Target for the period 2011-2030. (Land within Bromsgrove and Stratford-on-Avon Districts)

Site No.	Site Name/ Address	B/G*	Site Area (Ha) Capacity on or after 1.4.2011	Completions up to 31.3.2013 (Ha)
	Land at Ravensbank (BDC)	G	5.32	0
	Ravensbank ADR (BDC)	G	10.00	0
1	Land at Gorcott (SoADC)	G	7.47	0
	Winyates Green Triangle (SoADC) (gross)	G	4 <u>.50</u> 12.00	0
	Sub Total (gross)		27.29 34.79 Ha	0 Ha
	TOTAL		<u>53.57 Ha</u>	0.615 Ha

iii. Windfall sites for inclusion as a result of windfall contribution criteria

Site No.	Site Name/ Address	B/G*	Site Area (Ha) Capacity on or after 1.4.2011	Completions up to 31.3.2013 (Ha)
08/392	7 Howard Road, Park Farm North	В	0.06	0
10/267	9 Brook Street	В	0.009	0
11/024	49 Arthur Street	В	0.04	0.04
11/061	Hill Top, Webheath	В	0.005	0
11/241	7 Dunlop Road, Hunt End	В	0.024	0
12/005	Hewell Road COU from A1 to B8	В	0.022	θ
12/020	18 Broadground Road, Lakeside	В	0.016	0
12/032	Former Hepworth site, Brook Street	В	0.37	θ
12/117	Former Arrow Valley Social Club, Washford	В	0.5	0
12/151	Autobody, Hewell Road	В	0.1	θ
12/169	Thorlux Lighting, Merse Road	₽	0.24	0.24

12/220	1B Washford Trade Park	В	0.028	0.028
12/222	Unit 2A Millsborough House	₿	0.027	0.027
12/288	Former coach depot, Oxleasow Road	₽	0.28	0.28
	Sub Total		1.721 Ha	0.615 Ha
	TOTAL		55.711 Ha	0.615 Ha

^{*} Brownfield/Greenfield